[Issue #19](#) (open): [DECISION] PAC Learning Or: Why We Should (and Shouldn't) Trust Machine Learning 09-22-2025

[@leibatt](#) on Sep 22, 2025 23:19:

[opened]

[@leibatt](#) on Sep 22, 2025 23:19:

Conflicts of interest

- ☒ I declare that I have no known conflicts of interest with the authors.

Reviewed version

895a9ef0180ee638bc147ec76b9bce92749463ed

Reviews summarized

- [#2](#)
- [#5](#)
- [#7](#)
- [#16](#)
- [#10](#)

Meta-Review

This is the third round of reviews for this submission. Please see previous revision rounds for more details: - [#8](#) - [#17](#)

All three reviewers are ready to endorse with at most minor copyediting. In my review of the latest submission, I agree with the reviewers and consider this revision ready for endorsement. One note for the author(s) is to please do a final pass on the accessibility review notes prior to publication ([#10](#)).

Decision

Endorse: I am willing to endorse this paper, with at most minor copyediting.

[@dylancashman](#) on Oct 03, 2025 18:25:

I have addressed any copy editing that was mentioned in the reviews. In addition, I also made another pass over the mobile version. There is a toast message atop the page that says that some things may not render correctly. At the same time, I have made some changes to make it render as well as possible on mobile. I have tested on the Chrome device emulator, as well as an iPhone 16 e and an iPad Air, and it looks good to me.

I removed the "IN REVIEW" section at the top of the index. I believe that it is camera ready at this point.