

Do you think that the way we combined visualization of processes with visualization of what's within the records was effective or not?

powerful to see taken years/people

Particularly for the L&Ls. I like the L&Ls partially, cause it's women history partially because you know, it's stuff I didn't want on. It's new stuff to me. But I don't think like even if you didn't have the L&Ls, even if this was just a students, being able to see this [the timeline of process and] and having some stuff that the side of like the rest of you know these students want to have, this kind place that they write themselves and then being able to see that based on all of those people's work, all of those almost 150 years of work. And we've been able to build this, is very, very powerful. 19%

even more powerful with L&L

And then you add the fact that you're suddenly introducing people that most people would have never considered university students. And it's even more powerful because you have both. Both the visualization of their their lives in a rough way. I mean, kindplace took reasonably representative where someone lives, but you also have the representation of how a group that is that big [L&L] and that prominent in terms of the university. 19%

second mapping

people who are connected to the university are completely where within the kind of official register of the university. Both in terms of the the graduate rolls, and in terms of the work done by the historians, by some by you myself, and and also and and like, they [L&L] weren't there. That's incredibly important because it it gives them like a voice, but it gives them representation where they otherwise wouldn't have it. 19%

content vs more useful

This [content vs useful] probably be more useful. I'm not saying that this isn't useful. 19%

I love this. I love this [content vs]. I think you have to realize that it does get a bit confusing, but I realize if you had yeah, you consume in quite a bit, isn't that? And if you had I love this. I love this, and if you had just that little bit more flexibility with Yeah, I really like it. So many cool places. Some historians. There was a gap. Yeah, OK. You can have so much fun exploring this. 19%

depends on what you want to achieve

But I don't know if they necessarily need to go together. I suppose it depends on what you're trying to achieve. It's just what questions is this trying to answer in comparison to what you're trying to do. I don't think there's any reason that they shouldn't be together. Yeah, I just if you may be using it in different ways, but there's no reason that they couldn't be side by side. 19%

processes vs not for everyone

I think you could probably also do a different presentation which went straight into the visualization of the data. Because, I mean the second mapping stuff might not be as important to other to some people. And they may say they see they would just want you go to the and to do the the visualization to tell the stories. The second mapping story is interesting, but I mean from experience some people just want. You know they want the answers and people's questions might be still related to the individuals rather than to the trends. And and so you have to choose your tool according to the question that you're asking. 19%

two different things

They seemed like 2 distinct things to me. Rather than one thing that sort of fairly related. I'm not sure why. I guess just because they look so different. 19%

processes helpful for content vs

They were helpful in a sense that by the time I looked at this, I had some idea where the data came from and what the significance of the data was and which between being visualized. I think it's hard, it was effective because it told me which bits were being visualized and what's significant for people. So yeah, I think it was effective. 19%

I think it's a particular lens to look through the final visualization through. And it's a lens that doesn't exist unless you do something like this. It's very easy for that stuff to get mixed and it's all part of the history, especially, you know, lots of the people who've been involved in this transformation have been in the London and in London is a very historic traditional place. Being in the London as a place is part of the story for a lot of people and so this sort of like large transformation of data that is handled largely within the London. 19%

I think that was very effective being able to go into here [content] and play around with the data and things like that from here. Because I mean it's showing you all this [processes] activity, information, all the information, how the data went through this, they didn't process but that last step being able to transform those data into here and then explore the data. Emphasis, even beyond the just allowing you to explore the data yourself, emphasizes the actual value of the whole process and what value the whole process has enabled, we couldn't have done this. Given this with the original data or or any of the earlier stages, but because the data is now transformed digitized in a database. Because of all this, just being able to go across the here and say this is this. This is the data now explore it. Effectiveness of this whole process can be valuable. 19%

Yeah There's a nice progression of sort of things start off with some ancient houses and it's sort of difficult/hardening in them and through years and years of work you end up with a cool map that you can interact with. You have thousands of people's lives here and you can find out about them or certain things, about them and you have all the work that the people have done over the years with the data and it brought those people into the light. They are not buried in some dusty old books. 19%

transformations is part of the story of the data

I think it, I think it looks really nice the way I've been talking about this as the transformation is being part of a story of the data. 19%

probably not for every visualization

You don't necessarily it for every visualization you ever create, presumably. 19%

effective transformation exercises -> details

Yeah, I think it's effective because it shows the records at kind of like an overview level with more like the process visualization and then the maps seem a bit more detailed where the you can really drill into specific people. 19%