

PBL and critical thinking disposition in Chinese medical students – A randomized cross-sectional study

*XiangYun Du, Jeppe Emmersen, Egon Toft, Baozhi Sun **

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to explore the relationship of problem-based learning (PBL) and the development of critical thinking disposition (CT) and academic achievement in Chinese medical students using a cross-sectional randomized design. Medical students from China Medical University (CMU) were randomized to PBL or non-PBL teaching at the commencement of the study. After five years of study, CT was scored by a Chinese version of the California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI-CV). The score achieved on a Computer Case Simulation (CCS) test evaluated academic performance. Total CT score was higher in PBL students (n=170) than non-PBL students (n=83) (304.7±36.8 vs. 279.2±39.4, $p < 0.01$). Subscale CT-scores were significant in favor of PBL in six of the seven subscales (truth seeking, open-mindedness, analyticity, systematicity, inquisitiveness, maturity). There was no significant difference in terms of gender on the total CT score, though minor differences were seen in subscales favoring female PBL students. PBL students had higher CCS scores than non-PBL students, but not significantly (112.8±20.6 vs. 107.3±16.5; $p=0.11$). There was no significant correlation between CCS scores and CCTDI-CV results. Male students scored slightly higher on the CCS test compared to female students (male 113.4±18.9 vs. female 109.7±19.7), but the difference was not significant. This study concludes that in Chinese medical students, PBL teaching was related to a higher disposition of critical thinking, but not to improved academic skills.

Key words: academic achievement, Chinese medical students, critical thinking disposition (CT), gender, problem-based learning (PBL)

* XiangYun Du, Aalborg University, Sohngårdsholmsvej 2, 9000 Aalborg. Email: xiangyun@learning.aau.dk
Jeppe Emmersen, Aalborg University, Fredrik Bajers Vej 3, 9220 Aalborg SV. Email: emmersen@hst.aau.dk
Egon Toft, Aalborg University, Niels Jernes Vej 10, 9220 Aalborg Ø. Email: et@adm.aau.dk
Baozhi Sun, China Medical University, Research Center for Medical Education, Shenyang, China. Email: bzsun@mail.cmu.edu.cn

INTRODUCTION

Critical thinking (CT) is increasingly regarded as an essential element of educational activities and is defined as a prerequisite skill for health and medical related professions, in particular. CT skills have been designated as one of the desired major learning outcomes of medical schools - as both an academic capability and a professional competence (GMC, 2003; The Tuning Project Medicine, 2005).

Definitions of CT vary and include aspects of psychology, cognition and philosophy. Watson and Glaser (1964) defined CT as a combination of attitude, knowledge and skills. Brookfield (1987) classified four elements of CT: 1) identifying and challenging the assumptions that serve as the basis of ideas, values and actions; 2) challenging the importance of context; 3) assuming and exploring the alternatives; and 4) reflective skepticism. According to Facione and Facione (1996), CT is a nonlinear and cyclical process that allows people to make decisions on what to believe and what to do within a given context.

A quantitative assessment of critical thinking can be used as an instrument to guide education. The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI) (Facione and Facione, 1992) was developed to measure students' dispositions towards CT. CCTDI took as its point of departure the Delphi report on CT by the American Philosophical Association Delphi Panel, which defines CT to be "purposeful, self-regulatory judgment, which results in interpretation, analysis, evaluation, and inference, as well as explanation of the evidential, conceptual, methodological, criteriological, or contextual considerations upon which judgment is based" (Facione, 1990). Since its development, CCTDI has been well employed to assess student CT disposition and skills in a variety of programs in health and medical education (Leaver-Dunn et al., 2002; Tiwari et al., 2006).

Previous studies not only focused on the measurement and assessment of students' CT, but also on its correlation with academic performance, students' success and so on. Results of these studies have been used in program evaluation and curriculum improvements (Phillips and Rospond, 2004).

Previous scholars have asserted the importance of the learning environment in relation to the development of CT in university students. Problem-based learning (PBL) has been used as a strategy for promoting CT because it addresses the ability to analyze and solve more complex, real-life problems (Oja, 2011). With its theoretical roots in constructivism, PBL has been well used as an educational strategy and method in medical education since late 1960s. By promoting efficient knowledge acquisition, self-directed learning, participation, critical thinking, self-reflection and evaluation, PBL methodology has driven innovative curriculum change and educational reform in many medical universities in the past more than 40 years.

An extensive literature has documented sufficient cognitive evidence to validate the PBL approach to learning outcomes as well as its effectiveness in improving students' clinical performance (Duch et al., 2001; Neville, 2008).

The PBL approach has been described as an effective and efficient strategy to encourage students to improve analytical, problem-solving and collaboration skills (Du et al., 2010), and therefore seems well suited to building critical thinking skills (Oja, 2011). A number of studies have shown a positive relationship between PBL and critical thinking in nursing education (IP et al., 2000; Tiwari et al., 2006; Yuan, Williams and Fan, 2008, Jones, 2008; Oztürk et al., 2008). These studies showed that nursing students in the PBL group scored significantly higher on the CCTDI than students in the non-PBL group.

Despite numerous studies on PBL effectiveness in various aspects of medical education, studies on the effect of PBL on CT skills of medical students remain limited, possibly because of the demanding and complex nature of medical education for both students and teachers. As a consequence, the majority of the CT literature consists of studies on students from the fields of nursing and other health-related study programs. In particular, comparison of PBL and other teaching and learning methods is sparse (Worrell and Profetto-McGrath, 2007). Previous studies have also questioned whether PBL is compatible with the Chinese culture of learning and teaching (Lee et al., 2004) and how Chinese learners may improve critical thinking by PBL (Tiwari et al., 2003). Therefore there is a need for more empirical studies to support the assumption that PBL improves CT in students in health sciences and, in particular, in medicine, in non-Western contexts (Yuan et al., 2008; Oja, 2011).

PBL as an educational strategy has been used in medical education in China since the late 1990s; however, the majority of PBL educational practices have been implemented by individual teachers interested in PBL rather than at the institutional level.

In 2004, China Medical University (CMU) started an educational reform project focused on a systematic implementation of PBL. In 2004, pilot experiments were carried out with a small number of student groups and in the autumn of 2005, PBL methodology was introduced into the majority of the medical study programs at CMU. PBL implementation at CMU has been practiced as a hybrid model (Savin-Baden, 2003) in which diverse teaching methods co-exist. Such teaching methods include clinical cases, lectures in traditional discipline courses, lectures in integrated courses, student group discussions, tutoring and web-based discussions. At the same time, non-PBL programs centered on traditional lecturing and exercise modalities were continued alongside PBL learning, providing a unique opportunity for conducting a randomized controlled trial on the effect of PBL on the learning and development of critical thinking in a complete medical program. Previously, randomized controlled trials have been used to study the effect of PBL and self-directed learning for specific topics such as evidence-based medicine, with the result for PBL being negative in terms of learning outcomes

(Johnston et al., 2009; Bradley et al., 2005). The adoption of PBL by a large Chinese university is interesting from a cultural perspective as it has been speculated that Asian culture does not facilitate self-directed and critical learning, core principles of PBL (Tweed and Lehman, 2002).

The PBL implementation at CMU was dependent on continued interest and engagement in pedagogy reform from both teachers and students. By 2009, more than 1,000 staff and 10,000 medical students had been involved in PBL, with student participation from years five, six, and seven of the programs.

The present study was conducted from 2005 to 2009 to investigate the effect of PBL on CCTDI and academic performance as evaluated by a computer case simulation (CCS) test from National Board of Medical Examiners (NBME).

METHODS

Research questions

The study design was constructed to address the following research questions:

- What is the CT disposition in medical students in a PBL environment compared with those in a non-PBL environment?
- Are there differences between female and male students with respect to the development of CT?
- Is there a difference in CCS grade points between PBL and non-PBL students?
- Is there an association between academic achievement as measured by CCS grade point and CT in PBL and/or non-PBL medical students?

Tools, CT

To estimate CT, CCTDI, a 75-item Likert scale tool, was used to measure students' CT disposition (Facione and Facione, 1992). CCTDI has seven scales: truth seeking, open-mindedness, analyticity, systematicity, CT self-confidence, inquisitiveness and cognitive maturity. Total scores range from 70 to 420, with marks above 280 indicating a positive overall CT. In each disposition scale, a score of 30 or below indicates a consistent negative disposition or weakness in relation to the given attribute or characteristic and a score of 40 indicates a positive disposition for the attribute on average, and a total sum above 280 indicates a positive disposition.

Scholars from Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Peng et al., 2004) developed a Chinese version of the CCTDI (CTDI-CV). Rather than focusing on word-for-word equivalence in the Chinese translation, CTDI-CV is focused on conceptual equivalence to CCTDI (Peng et al., 2004). In consideration of cultural sensitivity, modifications were made. CTDI-CV has been

used as an instrument to study CT in a growing number of universities in China. Due to timing and consideration of resources, the CTDI-CV could not be used at the start of the present study. Therefore, a baseline for CT development is not available and comparisons were only made cross-sectionally.

Tools, Academic Achievement

In 1999, CCS was introduced into Step 3 of the United States Medicine License Examination (USMLE). A Chinese version of CCS was introduced at CMU in 2002 as a major graduate assessment method for five-year and seven-year track students at the end of their fifth year of study. By 2010, 4,000 students had participated in this test. In CMU practice, this test included two stations with random clinical cases. At the time of testing the database contained 80 cases in Chinese, with a time limit set to 20 minutes for each case.

Participants

All students were aged between 18 and 22 years at admission and had high admission grades. The study was conducted among students from the clinical medicine program. Students were enrolled in the seven-year program for a master's degree in medicine in September 2004 (n=270). All students achieved their university placement based on the scores from their National College Examinations. They were evenly and randomly divided into nine classes containing thirty students each. The nine classes were all comparable in terms of students' ages, gender ratio and academic scores in 2004. Beginning in September 2005 (the second academic year), PBL methodology was implemented in six out of the nine classes. The remaining three classes had no PBL implementation.

After 5 years of study, 267 students took the CCS test between September 10 and 20, 2009, and a CCTDI test was conducted on September 30, 2009. The results of these tests were used as the data sources of this study. Out of 267 questionnaires distributed, 256 were returned (female 169 and male 87). Among these, 170 students (female 110 and male 60) from 6 classes had PBL-based courses (PBL students) and 83 students (female 58 and male 25) from 3 classes had no PBL experiences (non-PBL students).

Statistics

Data were analyzed using SPSS 19.0. MANOVA was used to analyze the effects of teaching method and gender on critical thinking scores and CCS scores, with teaching method (PBL vs. non-PBL) and gender as independent variables and CT and CCS scores as dependent variables. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant using Wilks' Lambda in the multivariate analysis. Model assumptions were tested by analyzing data for outliers and using Box's test for equality of covariance and Levene's test for homogeneity of variances.

RESULTS

Students were comparable in age, gender and admission scores. There was a positive effect of PBL on CT scores, as PBL groups showed a total CT score of 304.7 and non-PBL groups a score of 280.4 (see Table 1). Female students scored 307.6 (PBL) vs. 281.6 (non-PBL) and male PBL students scored 299.4 vs. 277.6 for non-PBL students (see Table 1).

The overall multivariate analysis of variance showed a significant effect for learning method (PBL vs. non-PBL) ($p=0.00$, $F=13.02$), but not for gender ($p=0.19$, $F = 1.69$).

Analysis of variance for each group (tests between subjects) showed the overall model to be significant ($p=0.00$, $F= 3901.96$). For the learning method, total CT score was significantly different ($p= 0.01$, $F=23.76$) but the CCS score was not ($p=0.11$, $F=2.57$). For gender, neither the total CT score nor the total CCS score was significant ($p=0.32$ and $p=0.12$ respectively).

Individual components of the critical thinking tests were also assessed by multivariate analysis, including all seven subgroups of critical thinking as dependent variables and learning method and gender as independent variables. The multivariate test showed that the learning method produced a significant difference ($p<0.005$, $F=4.54$) but gender did not ($p=0.34$, $F=1.14$).

For the learning method, analysis of variance for each critical thinking group (between-subjects test) showed all sub-items except self-confidence ($p=0.173$, $F=1.86$) to be significant for all students.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics, showing means and standard deviation of CT disposition scores as a function of teaching method, females and males. For every item in the critical thinking inventory, there is an increased score in the PBL group versus the non-PBL group. * indicates significant difference ($p<0.05$).

Learning Method	All students		Female		Male	
	Non-PBL	PBL	Non-PBL	PBL	Non-PBL	PBL
N	83	170	58	110	25	60
Truth seeking	38.6±7.1	41.4±6.4*	39.2±7.0	41.5±6.1	37.2±7.2	41.2±6.8
Open-Mindedness	39.8±7.5	44.1±6.3*	40.0±7.6	44.7±6.3	39.5±7.2	42.9±6.3
Analyticity	41.7±8.1	45.2±6.8*	42.3±7.4	45.8±6.1	40.3±9.5	43.9±7.8
Systematicity	38.4±6.8	41.5±7.0*	38.9±6.7	41.0±6.5	37.2±7.0	42.4±7.8
Self-confidence	40.7±9.1	42.0±7.9	41.0±9.0	41.4±8.0	40.2±9.7	43.1±7.7
Inquisitiveness	42.0±9.1	46.5±8.2*	42.2±9.1	46.9±8.2	41.4±9.6	45.7±8.4
Maturity	38.0±8.8	44.2±7.6*	37.8±8.8	44.7±6.9	38.1±9.1	43.3±8.6
Total	279.2±39.4	304.7±36.8	281.4±40.1	305.9±34.3	274.6±43.3	302.5±41.3

DISCUSSION

Results from this study identify a general positive effect of the PBL method on CT disposition in Chinese medical students after their fifth year bachelor program study at CMU. This study observed findings similar to studies on pharmacy students (Phillips et al., 2004) and on nursing students (IP et al., 2000; Tiwari et al., 2006; Oztürk et al., 2008; Yuan et al., 2008; Yuan, Williams and Fan, 2008).

Findings of the present study are consistent with previous research results that PBL has a positive impact on students' learning in terms of CT disposition and its improvement in different social, cultural and discipline contexts (Yuan et al., 2008; Oja, 2011). Positive CT disposition results of PBL-students can be attributed to the characteristics of this method. Using problems as a starting point for learning can potentially increase curiosity and eagerness to acquire knowledge and explore reasons and explanations. When starting from problems, students must develop self-directed learning strategies, which may help to improve their ability to relate theory to practice, analyze, evaluate, judge, conclude and make decisions (Hmelo and Lin, 2000). Group discussion and teamwork provide good opportunities to be open to a variety of opinions, and thus develop an increased tolerance for other's viewpoints. In the process of managing self-directed learning through teamwork, students improve their maturity (Schmidt and Moust, 2000).

As reported in the results, the PBL group scored positive (above 40) on all the seven subscales of CCTDI. The non-PBL group scored positive (above 40) on four of the seven subscales: open-mindedness, analyticity, self-confidence, and inquisitiveness, and scored negative (below 40) on three subscales: truth seeking, systematicity and maturity. The PBL group scored significantly higher than the non-PBL group, which may be an effect of employing the PBL methodology.

The PBL group demonstrated significantly higher scores than non-PBL students in six out of the seven subscales: truth seeking, open-mindedness, analyticity, systematicity, inquisitiveness and maturity. This is consistent with other results involving Chinese students (Ip et al., 2000; Marcia et al., 2003; Yuan et al., 2008; Tiwari et al., 2006).

Lower scores in truth seeking and systematicity were also found in previous studies of nursing students studying in lecture-centered environments in the U.S., Australia and Japan (Kawashima and Petrini, 2004; Marcia et al., 2003). This is consistent with the summary of PBL effectiveness on critical thinking in comparison with lecture-based study environments (Oztürk, 2008; Oja, 2011).

This study also mirrors previous studies (Ip et al., 2000; Marcia et al., 2003; Yuan et al., 2008; Tiwari et al., 2006) concerning the subscale self-confidence: the score did not differ

significantly between the PBL and non-PBL groups, although both groups scored positive (above 40). To a certain degree, this may indicate that there are other factors that have not been included in this study influencing students' self-confidence or that self-confidence is not as closely related to CT for this study group as the other subscales in the inventory are.

A previous study documented a correlation between CCTDI scores and academic performance (Yuan, Williams and Fan, 2008). However, the correlation between CCTDI and CCS results was not significant in this study. It is interesting to note that PBL did not have a positive effect on academic performance, as this corroborates emphasizes previous skepticism of using PBL in China (Lee et al., 2004). However, further investigation is needed to examine the correlation between CT and academic performance.

Results of this study identified no statistically significant gender differences either in relation to CCTDI or to academic performance based on CCS scores, except for a small advantage for male students in the CCS test. This finding echoes previous studies in which no overall gender difference could be found in relation to CCTDI results (Yuan, Williams and Fan, 2008).

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In summary, the PBL environment as organized at the Chinese Medical University had a positive impact on CT disposition and may improve performance of Chinese medical students. This study suggests that medical education in general is challenged by new demands. Changes in the demands of the medical profession make critical thinking an increasingly important competence. The random allocating of students to classes in which teaching methods are different can potentially impact students' learning; nevertheless, evidence-based approaches to educational experiments and innovation are important. The implementation of educational innovation is done in a multi-professional environment where relational skills and organizational understanding are crucial. Furthermore, longitudinal follow-up studies on the medical careers of students from both groups of students will later show whether the different learning environments and increased disposition towards critical thinking has an effect on postgraduate performance. Follow-up investigations on patient treatment outcomes for each group of future medical doctors could complement this study.

NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

XiangYun Du, PhD, is a professor in the Department of Learning and Philosophy, Aalborg University, and adjunct professor at China Medical University. Her research and publications have focused on innovative teaching and learning in higher education, in particular on Problem-Based and Project-Based learning methodologies in diverse fields such as engineering education, medical education and language education, as well as in diverse social, cultural and educational contexts.

Jeppe Emmersen, PhD, is an associate professor in the Department of Health Science and Technology and chairman of the study board for medicine. His main research topics include bioinformatics and adult stem cells in regenerative medicine.

Egon Toft, MD, DMSc, FESC, is a professor and dean in the Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University. He has published more than 100 peer-reviewed papers. Since 2007 he has developed the field of pedagogic research in health and medicine education at Aalborg University.

Sun Baozhi, MD, PhD, is a professor, the former university Vice President and Director of Research Center of Medical Education at China Medical University and the current director of the North China Centre for Medical Education Development, honorable Doctor at Aalborg University. He has led pioneering projects driving educational reforms in medical education in China. His main research areas are medical education in general, in particular, Problem-Based Learning, educational development, and accreditation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Dr. Qiang Liu, who helped conduct the CT test, and Dr. Tianming Zuo, who was involved in the CCS test.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

References

- Antepohl W, Domeij E, Forsberg P, Ludvigsson J. A follow-up of medical graduates of a problem-based learning curriculum. *Med Educ* 2003; 37: 155-162.
- Birgegard G, Lindquist U. Change in student attitudes to medical school after the introduction of problem based learning in spite of low ratings. *Med Educ* 1998; 32: 46-49.
- Bradley P, Oterholt C, Herrin J, Nordheim L, Bjørndal A. Comparison of directed and self-directed learning in evidence-based medicine: a randomised controlled trial. *Med Educ* 2005; 39 (10) p. 1027-35."
- Du XY, Liu Q, Toft E, Sun BZ Rethinking the Diverse Development of PBL Models in Medical Education. *Chin J Med Edu*, Vol.30, No.6, 958-960, Dec. 2010 (Chinese).
- Duch B, Groh SE, Allen DE. Why Problem-based Learning? A case study of institutional change in undergraduate education. *The Power of Problem-Based Learning*. Virginia, Sterling 2001, Duch, B, Groh, S.E., Allen, D.E. (eds). Sterling: Stylus Publishing, LLC 2001.

- Dehkordi AH, Heydarnejad MS. The effects of problem-based learning and lecturing on the development of Iranian nursing students' critical thinking. *Pak J Med Sci* 2008; 24(5): 740-743.
- GMC 2003. *Tomorrow's doctors*. London: General Medical Council. Retrieved May 11, 2009 http://www.gmc-uk.org/education/undergraduate/GMC_tomorrows_doctors.pdf.
- Facione P, Facione N. *The California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory (CCTDI); and the CCTDI Test manual*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press 1992.
- Hmelo CE, Lin XD. Becoming Self-Directed Learners: Strategy Development in Problem-Based Learning. *Problem-Based Learning – A Research Perspective on Learning Interactions*. Evensen D.H. and Hmelo C.E. (Eds). London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers. 2000, 227-250.
- Ip WY, Lee DT, Lee IF, Chau JP, Wootton YS, Chang AM. Disposition towards critical thinking: a study of Chinese undergraduate nursing students. *J Adv Nurs* 2000; 32: 84–90.
- Johnston JM, Schooling CM, Leung GM. A randomised-controlled trial of two educational modes for undergraduate evidence-based medicine learning in Asia. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009; 9: 63.
- Jones M. Developing clinically savvy nursing students: an evaluation of problem-based learning in an associate degree program. *Nurs Educ Pers* 2008; 29:278-283.
- Kawashima A, Petrini MA. Study of critical thinking skills in nursing students and nurses in Japan. *Nurse Educ Today* 2004; 24: 286-292.
- Kamin CS, O'Sullivan PS, Younger M, Deterding R. Measuring critical thinking in problem-based learning discourse. *Teach Learn Med* 2001; 13: 27-35.
- Leaver-Dunn D, Harrelson GL, Martin M, Wyatt T. Critical-Thinking. Predisposition among Undergraduate Athletic Training Students. *J Athl Train*. 2002; 37(4 Suppl): S147-S151.
- Lee WM, Wong Y, Mok B. Problem-based learning: ancient Chinese educational philosophy reflected in a modern educational methodology. *Nurse Educ Today* 2004; 24: 136-144.
- Marcia A, Petrini MA, Kawashima A. Comparison of Critical Thinking Skills of Nurses in Japan, China and Samoa. *Bulletin of the Graduate Schools Yamaguchi Prefectural University* No.4 2003: 11-32.
- Neville A. Problem-based learning and medical education forty years on – a review of its effects on knowledge and clinical performance. *Med Princ Pract* 2009; 18:1-9.
- Norris SP, Ennis RH. *Evaluating critical thinking*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications 1989.
- Oja KJ. Using problem-based learning in the clinical setting to improve nursing students' critical thinking: an evidence review. *J Nurs Educ* 2011; 50 (3): 145-151.

- Oztürk C, Muslu GK, Dicle A. A comparison of problem-based and traditional education on nursing students' critical thinking dispositions. *Nurse Educ Today* 2008; 28, 627-632.
- Phillips CR, Chesnut RJ, Rospond RM. The California critical thinking instruments for benchmarking, program assessment, and directing curricular change. *Am J Pharm Educ* 2004; 68 (4): Article 101, 1-8.
- (NBME) National Board of Medical Examiners. *Primum® Computer-based Case Simulations (CCS) for licensing doctors*. 1-8.
- Savin-Baden M. *Facilitating problem-based learning: illuminating perspectives*. Maidenhead: Society for Research into Higher Education and Open University Press 2003.
- Schmidt H and Moust JH. Factors Affecting Small – Group Tutorial Learning: A Review of Research. *Problem-Based Learning – A Research Perspective on Learning Interactions*. Evensen D.H. and Hmelo C.E. (Eds). London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers. 2000, 19-52.
- Tiwari A, Lai P, So M, Yuen K. A comparison of the effects of problem-based learning and lecturing on the development of students' critical thinking. *Med Educ* 2006; 40: 547-554.
- Tiwari A, Avery A, Lai P. Critical thinking disposition of Hong Kong Chinese and Australian nursing students. *J. Adv. Nurs*. 2003; 44(3): 298-307.
- Tweed RG, Lehman DR. Learning considered within a cultural context. Confucian and Socratic approaches. *Am Psych* 2002; 57 (2) p. 89-99.
- Williams RA, Sewell D, Humphrey E. Implementing problem-based learning in ambulatory care. *Nurs Economics* 2002; 20: 135-142.
- Wong MS. A prospective study on the development of critical thinking skills for student prosthetists and orthotists in Hong Kong. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2007; 31: 138-146.
- Yeh ML, Chen HH. Comparison of Affective Dispositions Toward Critical Thinking Across Chinese and American Baccalaureate Nursing Students. *J Nurs Res* 2003; 2: 39-45.
- Yuan H, Kunaviktikul W, Klunklin, A, Williams BA. Improvement of nursing students' critical thinking skills through problem-based learning in the People's Republic of China: A quasi-experimental study. *Nursing and Health Sciences* 2008, 10, 70-76.
- Yuan H, Williams BA, Fan L. A systematic review of selected evidence on developing nursing students' critical thinking through problem-based learning. *Nurs. Educ. Today* 2008; 28:657-663.
- Peng MC, Wang GC, Chen JL. Validity and Reliability of the Chinese Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory. *Journal of Nursing in China (Zhong Hua Hu Li Za Zhi)*, 2004; 39: 644-7.